School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2018/19 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Na	me of School:	Pentecostal Lam Hong Kwong School						
Sta	ff-in-charge: <u>Mr.Ho Sh</u> ı	Chee	Contact Telephone No.:	2648 8291				
A.	The number of students	(count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is_	s 12 (including A. 1 CSSA recipients, B.5 SFAS full-grant recipients					
	and C.6 under school'	s discretionary quota).						

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

*Name / Type of activity		Actual no. of participating eligible students #		affendance	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	С							
1. S2 N4 summer programs	1	1	0	95%	15/7/2019- 26/7/2019	1400	Evaluation meeting	Teen 行者少年事工		
2. Gifted programs	0	0	2	95%	2019 summer time	5276	Evaluation meeting	CUHK, HKUST		
Cross-boundary learning tour to Myanmar	0	1	2	100%	22/4/2019- 26/4/2019	6000	Evaluation meeting	Jose Travel		
English Study Tour to Australia	0	3	2	100%	16/4/2019- 28/4/2019	17500	Evaluation meeting	International Student Exchange Centre		
Total no. of activities: 4										
@No. of man-times	1	5	6		(D. 4.1)E	30176				
**Total no. of man-times		12		Tot	Total Expenses					

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA(A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C.Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Diagram 4 - 6 /2 4 la mara 4 mara 4 la	Improved			No		Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students' study skills	✓					
c) Students' academic achievement	✓					
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom		✓				
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem			✓			
g) Students' self-management skills		✓				
h) Students' social skills		✓				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social		✓				
development						
Community Involvement						
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary		✓				
activities						
o) Students' sense of belonging		✓				
p) Students' understanding on the community			✓			
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota; \square eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify: the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with E. the service provided? (optional) NIL

D.

Comments on the project conducted